A Mediation Information System to help to coordinate the response of a crisis



Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise

Sébastien Truptil, Frédérick Bénaben, Hervé Pingaud Centre de Génie Industriel Université de Toulouse - Mines Albi Mel : {truptil,benaben,pingaud}@mines-albi.fr

PROVE 10 Conference – October 13 - Saint Etienne (FR)





- Project scope
- Mediation based approach of interoperability solutions as a generic framework
- Mediation Information System Engineering (MISE) for crisis management
- MIS Engineering versus MISE RE-engineering



Project scope

A crisis is a unwanted critical phenomena characterized

by an abnormal situation, the effects of which have to be mitigated.

Let us consider here the transient organization in charge of crisis management





A crisis cell is a decision making center.

Cell efficiency is based on information quality and supported by communication resources

But both crisis cell composition and/or partner's missions could be changed wrt time



The crisis cell must perform optimally in a unstable, uncertain and critical environment It should be considered as an open collaborative organization where partners may enter, leave, and interact seamlessly



Mediation based approach : a simple system perspective

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise



A very efficient solution to promote system flexibility and agility



Structural representation of a Mediation Based Solution

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise



Predefined knowledge - To be engineered - Predefined Knowledge



Mediation Information System Engineering (MISE) applied to crisis management (1)

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise

A first phase of the engineering process must fulfill the following three requirements in order to begin system design and configuration

1- How to define specific features of a given crisis ?



4- How to react to evolutions ?

2- How to specify a mitigation of crisis effects ?

Which actors shall be involved? To do what?

3- How to control the activities of partners and provide coordination means ?

MISE is based on very specific Model Driven Architecture principles (MDA)



1- How to define specific features of a given crisis ?

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation d

A Domain Specific Language (DSL) for crisis characterization

Truptil S, Bénaben F, Couget P, Lauras M, Chapurlat V, Pingaud H (2008) Interoperability of Information Systems in Crisis Management: Crisis Modeling and Metamodeling. IESA'08, BerlinGermany.





2- How to specify a mitigation of crisis effects ? Which actors shall be involved ? To do what ?

Knowledge about actor abilities

Rajsiri V., Lorre J.P, Benaben B. Pingaud H. Prototype of an Ontology-based Approach for Collaborative Process Specification, IESA'09, Beijing, China





Berlind Mediation Information System Engineering applied to crisis management (2)

Mediation Information System Engineering for Interoperability Support in Crisis Management

Truptil S., Bénaben F. & al., IESA 10 conference, Coventry, April 2010







3- How to control the activities of partners and provide coordination means ?

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise

CBP as an answer for coordination of cell crisis (compliant with MISE meta-model)

Touzi J., Benaben B. Pingaud H. Prototype to support morphism between BPMN CBP and collaborative SOA model, IESA'06, Bordeaux





4- How to react to evolutions ?

Evolutions can be classified in 3 categories :

1. situation intrinsically evolves

- 2. pool of involved organizations in charge of crisis response evolves
 - **3.** dysfunction can happen during the crisis response

I. Help the crisis cell to detect signs of evolution (1)

II. Adapt the MIS (2,3)



I. Help the crisis cell to detect signs of evolution

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise

Main courante : Suivie caracteristiques de la crise

Information about Crisis situation

Nom	Etat_choisi	Priorite	Etat_deduit	Etat_reel	Commentaire
risque_ince	okcan	4	Nontraite	Present	
risque_bles	okcould	5	Nontraite	Present	
risque_cont	okcan	2	Nontraite	Present	
risque_inter	ko	7	Nontraite	Present	
risque_eng	okcan	8	Nontraite	Present	
risque_fuite	okcould	9	Nontraite	Present	

Nom	Etat_choisi	Priorite	Etat_deduit	Etat_reel	Commentaire
personnes_b	okcould	1	Nontraite	Present	
engorgement	okcan	3	Nontraite	Present	
interruption_tr	okcould	6	Nontraite	Present	
incendie_res	okcan	0	Nontraite	Present	

🙆 Main courante : Suivi des caracteristiques de la reponse

Information about Crisis response

Service	Present_reponse	Ordre	Selectionne	utilise_pour	Etat	Acteur	Commentaire
GIE_Organiser_zone	oui	1	deduce	SDIS_Soigner_victim	EnAttente	GIE	
SDIS_Adapter_dispo	oui matatta ta terrese	3,	deduce	SDIS_Soigner_victim	EnAttente	SDIS	
DDE_Determiner_nat	oui	1	advisable	risque_contamination	EnAttente	DDE	

ومحافظته والمرور والمتحد ومحتج والمراجع والمراجع والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحاف

<u> Main courante : Suivi des caracteristiques de la reponse</u>

ίοIX

Information about Crisis cell

NbServices	Etat	Commentaire
2	EnAttente	
3	EnAttente	
4	EnAttente	
1	EnAttente	
1	EnAttente	
	NbServices 2 3 4 1 1	NbServices Etat 2 EnAttente 3 EnAttente 4 EnAttente 1 EnAttente





II. Adapt the MIS

Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise Full Design **Process Definition Completeness** Partial Run-time **Design-time Flexibility Configuration** Position of design flexibility for ISyCri Project



Depending on the evolution, the MIS Design can be restarted at several level :

- 1. The Crisis Modelling
- 2. the set of Service Modelling
- 3. Treatment Priority Order definition
- 4. The choice of the service for each Crisis problem
 - 5. The Collaborative Process deduction

So it is required for the MIS design approach to be manageable at those several levels



Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise





Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise





Interoperabilité des Systèmes en situation de Crise





Thanks a lot for your attention