

Computation of Very High Dimensional integrals by Quasi Monte-Carlo methods

Jean-Marc Azaïs
Université de Toulouse,
IMT, LSP, F31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
Email: azais@cict.fr

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ a Gaussian vector of dimension n . Many problems of simultaneous statistical inference correspond to the computation of the probability of \mathbf{X} to be in an hyper-rectangle.

The evaluation of such a probability for n of the size of (say) 1000 seems very difficult. It can be conducted in two steps: the first uses elementary conditioning and the change of variable formula to transform the probability into an integral over the unit hyper-cube:

$$\int_{[0,1]^n} h(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}.$$

This transformation already implies an important reduction of variance if a Monte-Carlo (**MC**) method is used.

The second step uses an evaluation on the integral over the hyper-cube using a lattice rule that generate low discrepancy sequences.

More precisely, let n be prime and let \mathbf{Z}_1 be a “nice integer sequence” in \mathbb{N}^n , the rule consist of choosing

$$\mathbf{t}_i = \left\{ \frac{i \cdot \mathbf{z}}{M} \right\} \quad \text{and computing } \hat{I} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M h(\mathbf{t}_i)$$

where the notation $\{ \}$ means that we have taken the fractional part component-wise. M is chosen prime.

Theorem 1 (*Nuyens and Cools, 2006*) *Assume that h is the tensorial product of periodic functions that belong to a Koborov space (RKHS). Then the minimax sequence and the worst error can be calculated by a polynomial algorithm.*

This result concerns the “worst case” so in many cases, the convergence is faster. Numerical results show it is roughly $\mathcal{O}(M^{-1})$ thus much faster than **MC**.

If h does not satisfies the conditions of the preceding theorem we can still hope **QMC** to be faster than **MC** and a reliable estimation the estimation error can

be obtained by adding a Monte-Carlo step:

Let (t_i, i) be the lattice sequence, the way of estimating the integral can be turned to be random but exactly unbiased by setting

$$\widehat{I}(U) = 1/M \sum_{i=1}^M h(\{t_i + U\})$$

where U is uniform on $[0, 1]^n$. It is clear that $\mathbb{E} \widehat{I}(U) = I$ and general considerations on QMC integration imply that $\widehat{I}(U)$ has **small variance**.

So we can make N independent replications of this calculation, computing

$$\widehat{\widehat{I}} = 1/N(\widehat{I}(U_1) + \cdots + \widehat{I}(U_N))$$

and construct Student-type confidence intervals. This interval is correct whatever the properties of the function h are. In practice N is chosen rather small (12) so that the **MC** implies roughly a loss of speed of $\sqrt{12}$ with respect to a pure **QMC** method. But on the other hand we have a **reliable estimation of error**.

We will present some numerical applications and also application to design of experiments in large dimension making a comparison with LHS and Orthogonal Arrays.

References

- Azas Genz, A. (2009), Computation of the distribution of the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequences and processes. In preparation
- Allan Genz web site <http://www.math.wsu.edu/faculty/genz/homepage>
- Genz, A. (1992), Numerical Computation of Multivariate Normal Probabilities, *J. Comp. Graph. Stat.* **1**, pp. 141–150.
- Nuyens, D., and Cools, R. (2006), Fast algorithms for component-by-component construction of rank-1 lattice rules in shift-invariant reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, *Math. Comp* **75**, pp. 903–920.