

3D orientation microscopy based on FIB-EBSD tomography: Potentials and limits.

S. Zaefferer



- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application example:
 - Coupling of 3D measurements with 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Conclusions



- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application examples
 - Grain boundary characterization
 - Accurate observation of deformation structures
 - Microstructure characterization for 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Conclusions

The need for 3D observations



Pre-condition: crystallographic information must be accessible to investigate the microstructure of crystalline matter

2D Stereology statistical observations:

- grain size distribution
 comprehensive
- grain shape (from 2 sample sections)
- volume fraction and distribution of 2nd phase constituents
- texture-microstructure relations

<u>3D Destructive</u> static observations:

- comprehensive morphology information
- 3D connectivity of features
- grain boundaries
- input data for modelling
- 3D deformation structures

<u>3D Non-destructive</u> process observations:

- recrystallization

 (e.g. nucleation, grain
 growth)
- deformation (e.g. texture formation)
- phase transformation
 (e.g. variant selection)

Many problems can be solved by 2D statistical observations but for some 3D observations are essential

Serial sectioning methods

Sectioning:

- mechanical or chemical polishing
- FIB milling....

Observation:

 BSE-Microscopy, EBSD, optical microscopy....





Problems:

- depth definition
- contrast definition for segmentation
- very laborious

M.V. Kral & G. Spanos, Acta Mater. 47 (1999), 711

serial sectioning and reconstruction of allotriomorphic cementite by mechanical polishing



Advantages of FIB-EBSD tomography

- Sectioning by FIB
 - accurate depth definition
 - flat and parallel sections (< 1° deviation)
 - high resolution (< 50 nm)
- Observation by EBSD
 - well-defined contrast on crystalline material
 - ideal for reconstruction of grains in 2D and 3D
 - quantitative description of microstructure
 - high resolution (~ 50 nm)
- Combination of FIB and EBSD
 - table-top instrument
 - "high" measurement speed
 - fully automatic

Recent reviews:

- Uchic et al., MRS Bulletin 32 (2007) 408-416
- Zaefferer et al., Met. Mater. Trans. 39A, (2008) 374-389

S. Zaefferer: 3D orientation microscopy

Length scale of tomographic measurements





- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application examples
 - Grain boundary characterization
 - Accurate observation of deformation structures
 - Microstructure characterization for 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Conclusions

Instrument overview



- Scanning electron microscope (SEM)
 - observation of microstructure
- Scanning Ga⁺-ion microscope (FIB = focused ion beam)
 - sputtering of material for serial sectioning
 - Quantitative images with EBSD and EDX
 - quantitative characterisation of microstructure



S. Zaefferer: 3D orientation microscopy

Geometrical set-up alternatives for FIB-EBSD SEM FIB, crossover point 367 EBSD EBSD EBSD sample 600

tilt set-up

- +/- medium tilt positioning + no stage movement accuracy
- + tilt inaccuracies create linear distortions
- + simple software correction possible
- + freely selectable milling position
- Zaefferer et al., Met. Mater. Trans. 39A, 374-389 (2008)

static set-up

- required
- + highest possible positioning accuracy
- + unconventional but nonproblematic EBSD set-up
- + high measurement speed

rotation set-up

- + high stage positioning accuracy
- +/- rotation inaccuracies create shear distortions
- +/- software correction more complex
- +/- every milling position requires a different holder

Mulders, Day, Mat. Sci. Forum 495-497, 237-242 (2005)

001

S. Zaefferer: 3D orientation microscopy

EBSD & FIB-sliceing: 3D microstructure of pearlite 🐼 🛞





- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application examples
 - Grain boundary characterization
 - Accurate observation of deformation structures
 - Microstructure characterization for 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Conclusions

163.29

{200}

0.00

The cube texture in Fe 36% Ni





- Origin of the cube texture: oriented nucleation
- Possible reasons for texture selection:
 - stored energy differences (Etter et al. Scripta Mat. 46 (2002) 311)
 - grain boundary properties (e.g. 40° <111>) ("microoriented growth", (Duggan et al., Acta metall. mater. 41 (1993) 1921))
 - differences in mobility of dislocations in different orientations (differences in recovery rate) (Rhida & Hutchinson, Acta metall 30 (1982) 1929)

3D-orientation microscopy on cold rolled material



Cube grains have low internal orientation fluctuations. Some other orientations do have that as well.

S. Zaefferer: 3D orientation microscopy

S. Zaefferer: 3D orientation microscopy

Microstructure after 1 min annealing



- only small cube-grain areas with 40°<111> orientation relation
- original neighbourhood of grown grain does not show any special boundaries with cube band

Direct observation of the nucleation process



- In-situ observations are difficult (but see: Nowell et al. ReX& GG2 (2004))
- Modelling on the basis of orientation microscopy data
 - Hypothesis: abnormal subgrain growth as nucleation mechanism of recrystallisation (Humphreys, Acta Mater. (1997))
- 3D-Monte-Carlo Potts model for the simulation of subgrain growth
 - Freely selectable energy and mobility functions
 - Experimental microstructures as input data
 - Stored energy determined from local orientation gradients

Nucleation simulation by a 3D-Monte Carlo Potts model



Stored energy according to Read-Shockley approach





Evolution of stored energy from MC simulations

- ⇒ Stored energy difference between cube and non-cube grains persists even after longer annealing periods
- ⇒ Growth advantage of the cube grains



- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application examples
 - Grain boundary characterization
 - Accurate observation of deformation structures
 - Microstructure characterization for 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Conclusions

Materials restrictions of FIB-milling



- Anisotropic sputtering and curtaining
- Amorphisation (beam damage)
- FIB-induced phase transformation
- Reaction between gallium and aluminium



damage due to Ga-Al interaction at grain boundaries under a nanoindentation in Al

Anisotropic sputtering & curtaining

Fe 3% Si alloy: crystallographic origin of anisotropic sputtering





easy sputtering: {100} crystal planes hard sputtering: {111} crystal planes

Experiments and calculations on anisotropic sputtering of Cu B.W. Kempshall et al., J.Vac.Sci.Tech. B19 (2001), 749

(a)

(b)

Channeled

Not Aligned



22

Amorphisation of lattice structure (beam damage)

- Investigation of Kato et al., J. Vac. Sci. Tech. A17(1999), 1201:
 - 20 nm side-wall amorphisation after 30 keV milling on silicon
 - 8 nm after 10 keV milling
 - ⇒ amorphisation depth is proportional to ion energy
 - ⇒ amorphisation depends on Z of target material

milling: 30 keV, 500 pA



FIB-beam-induced material changes



Beam-induced a-y phase transformation in Fe-Ni formation of a thin alayer



transformation of metastable austenite into martensite during milling

3D orientation microscopy on a TRIP steel:

- no residual austenite left
- "bainitic" orientation gradients preserved



orientation deviation **[11]** 0°...20°



- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application examples
 - Grain boundary characterization
 - Accurate observation of deformation structures
 - Microstructure characterization for 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Measurement accuracy
- Conclusions

Problems of section alignment

S. Zaefferer: 3D orientation microscopy



Crystallographic interface analysis of martensite plates Rowenhorst et al. Scripta Mater. 55 (2006) 11-16

Bad section alignment leads to significant errors in plane determination

Software-based improvement of resolution

- Two sources of inaccuracy for the tilt set-up:
 - Tilt inaccuracies: linear expansion of measurement field $\Delta \alpha \leq 1^{\circ} \Rightarrow \Delta I/I \approx 1 \%$ (\Rightarrow on a 10 μ m field: 100 nm)
 - Shift inaccuracies: translations of measurement field usually in the order of 1 image pixel ≈ 50 nm
- Correction of inaccuracies:
 - Tilt: measurement of $\Delta \alpha$ by measurement of average misorientation between slices

⇒ correction by linear image distortion

- Shift: minimization of Euler angle correlation coefficient between successive slices

Advanced section alignment



- Non-systematic voxel shifts due to inaccurate beam movement
 - leads to locally changing misalignment of slices
- Approach:
 - short Monte-Carlo grain growth process
 - MC termination condition:

constant grain volume

shrinking grain surface

$$\frac{dV_g}{ds_{mc}} = 0$$
$$\frac{dA_g}{ds_{mc}} < 0$$

- conserving main grain shape and size, reducing grain boundary roughness
- conserving the internal structure of grains

Effect of MC clean-up



Sample: deformed TRIP steel



effective clean-up: triple line reduction

MC clean-up: sub-structure conservation





MCS = 5





- The need and methods for 3D characterization of crystalline matter
- Principle of 3D characterisation by FIB-EBSD tomography
- Application examples
 - Grain boundary characterization
 - Accurate observation of deformation structures
 - Microstructure characterization for 3D modelling
- Material restrictions:
 - beam induced material changes
- Conclusions





- A multi-dimensional microstructure vector is obtained at each 3D spatial position
 - phase, orientation, defect density, elemental composition
- Spatial resolution: $50 \times 50 \times 50$ nm³
- Observable volume: $\approx 50 \times 50 \times 50 \ \mu m^3$
- Angular resolution: 0.5° (precision of tilt)
- Time consumation per cut: 15 ... 60 min /cycle